Part 2 Functional programming in Haskell

clarative Programming and (Co)Inductio

DIBRIS, June 23-27, 2014 1 / 16

Functional programming

- early functional flavored languages: LISP (John McCarthy, late 1950s), then IPL and APL
- 1977: John Backus Turing Award lecture "Can Programming Be Liberated From the von Neumann Style? A Functional Style and its Algebra of Programs."
- 1970: ML (Robin Milner, University of Edinburgh)
- several ML dialects, most common now Objective Caml and Standard ML
- 1970s: Scheme (Lisp dialect) brought functional programming to the wider programming-languages community
- following Miranda (David Turner, 1985), interest in lazy functional languages grew: by 1987, more than a dozen
- at FPCA '87 in Portland, consensus that a committee should define an open standard for such languages
- first version defined in 1990
- Haskell 98: stable, minimal, portable version of the language with standard library for teaching, and as a base for future extensions
- in January 2003 revised version
- Glasgow Haskell Compiler (GHC) current de facto standard implementation
- from 2006, ongoing process of defining a successor to the Haskell 98 standard (last revision published in July 2010)

Basics: lambda-expressions

- lambda-calculus forms the basis, as in almost all functional programming languages today
- expressions which denote functions: $\ x \rightarrow x+1$
- function application $(\ x \rightarrow x+1)$ 2
- conventions like in the lambda calculis
 - $t_1 t_2 t_3 = (t_1 t_2) t_3$
 - $\lambda \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1 \mathbf{t}_2 = \lambda \mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{t}_1 \mathbf{t}_2)$
- declarations of functions:

inc = $\langle x \rangle \rightarrow x+1$ inc x = x + 1

```
Ancona, Zucca (Univ. of Genova)
```

Declarative Programming and (Co)Induction

DIBRIS, June 23-27, 2014 3 / 16

Basics: types and declarations

• each value has a type, the following are *type signature declarations*

```
5 :: Integer
'a' :: Char
\x -> x + 1 :: Integer -> Integer
[1,2,3] :: [Integer]
('b',4) :: (Char,Integer)
```

• the type system is sound, and *infers* type signatures

```
:type "elena"
"elena" :: [Char]
```

- types universally quantified over all types, e.g.,
- $\forall a [a]$ is the type of all homogeneous lists
- quantifier is omitted

Functions

```
    Higher-order functions

  double f x = f (f x)
  compose (f, g) x = f (g x)
  compose (f, q) = \langle x - \rangle f (q x)
  compose = \langle (f, q) \rangle \rightarrow \langle x \rangle \rightarrow f (q x)
   *Main> compose (inc, inc) 1
   3
   *Main> double inc 5
   7
```

Ancona, Zucca (Univ. of Genova)

Declarative Programming and (Co)Induction

DIBRIS, June 23-27, 2014 5 / 16

Functions

```
Curried functions
  sum:: (Integer, Integer) -> Integer
  sum(x,y) = x + y
  sum(1,2)
  add:: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
  add x y = x + y
  *Main> add 1 2
  3
  compose f g x = f(g x)

    Partial application

  inc = add 1
  *Main> :type compose inc inc
  compose inc inc :: Integer -> Integer
  *Main> :type compose inc
  compose inc :: (t -> Integer) -> t -> Integer
```

• given a function $f: A \times B \to C$, its curried version $\tilde{f}: A \to B \to C$ is defined by: for all $a \in A$, $\tilde{f}(a): B \to C$,

for all $b \in B$, $\tilde{f}(a)(b) = f(a, b)$

 conversely, given a function g: A → B → C, its uncurried version *ĝ*: A × B → C is defined by: for all a ∈ A, b ∈ B,

```
\hat{g}(a,b) = g(a)(b)
```

ourry and uncurry operators can be defined in Haskell:

```
curry f = \a -> \b -> f (a, b)
uncurry g = \(a, b) -> g a b
*Main> :type curry sum
curry sum :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
*Main> :type curry
curry :: ((a, b) -> c) -> a -> b -> c
```

Polymorphism

- the definition of the identity function f(x) = x makes sense independently from the nature of the argument
- in languages allowing polymorphism it is possible to write such definitions: \x -> x
- one definition applicable to arguments of different types
- different from overloading: same name for different definitions

```
*Main> :type (\x -> x)
(\x -> x) :: t -> t
*Main> :type compose
compose :: (t1 -> t2) -> (t -> t1) -> t -> t2
first(x,y) = x
*Main> :type (first)
(first) :: (t, t1) -> t
```

Polymorphism

- some types are more general than others, e.g., [a] -> a is more general than [Integer] -> Integer
- any expression has a most general or principal type
- the principal type represents all the different types a function can assume
- the type of compose in the expression compose inc inc is

(Integer->Integer) -> (Integer->Integer)->Integer->Integer

obtained by instantiating the type variables

- each (well-typed) Haskell expression has a unique principal (most general) type
- type inference: the programmer is not required to insert type annotations

```
Ancona, Zucca (Univ. of Genova)
```

Declarative Programming and (Co)Induction

DIBRIS, June 23-27, 2014 9 / 16

Functions

infix operators are just functions and can be defined:

```
(++):: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
[]++xs = xs
(x:xs)++ys = x:(xs++ys)
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> (a -> c)
f.g = \x -> f(g x)
```

partial applications of infix operators are called sections

Pattern matching

general form

```
f p_1 = e_1
...
f p_n = e_n
```

- pattern = expression with free variables, describing a possible shape of the argument
- patterns are considered in the given order, hence each pattern behaves like a filter for the following (unless irrefutable)
- example

```
negate True = False
negate False = True
```

or

```
negate True = False
negate x = True
```

or using a wild-card

```
negate True = False
negate _ = True
```

```
Ancona, Zucca (Univ. of Genova)
```

Declarative Programming and (Co)Induction

DIBRIS, June 23-27, 2014 11 / 16

 an exception is raised if a function is invoked on an argument which does not match any pattern:

```
*Main> let f 0 = 0 in f 1
*** Exception: <interactive>:1:4-10: Non-exhaustive
patterns in function f
```

Another example

Implication

```
implies True False = False
implies _ _ = True
```

• a variable cannot be repeated, e.g.:

Ancona, Zucca (Univ. of Genova)

Declarative Programming and (Co)Induction

DIBRIS, June 23-27, 2014 13 / 16

Lists

- [1,2,3] is a shorthand for 1:2:3:[]
- example of function defined by pattern-matching:

```
length [] = 0
length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

• is a polymorphic function

```
length:: [a] -> Integer
length [1,2,3]
length ['a','b','c']
length [[1],[2,3],[4,5,6]]
```

• other polymorphic functions:

```
head:: [a] -> a
head (x:_) = x
tail::[a]->[a]
tail (_:xs) = xs
```

Polymorphic functions on lists

```
map :: (t -> a) -> [t] -> [a]
map f [] = []
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
map (x \rightarrow x+1) [1,2,3,4]
[2,3,4,5]
itlist :: (t1 -> t -> t1) -> t1 -> [t] -> t1
itlist f a [] = a
itlist f a (x:xs) = itlist f (f a x) xs
sumlist = itlist (+) 0
flatten = itlist (++) []
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter p [] = []
filter p(x:xs) = (if p x then [x] else []) ++ (filter p xs)
filter (\x->x>5) [1,2,3,4,5,6,7]
[6,7]
Ancona, Zucca (Univ. of Genova)
                        Declarative Programming and (Co)Induction
                                                        DIBRIS, June 23-27, 2014 15 / 16
```

List comprehension

```
filter p xs = [x | x <- xs, p x]
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (x:xs) =
    quicksort [y|y<-xs,y<x]
    ++[x]
    ++ quicksort [y|y<-xs,y>=x]
```